

8. Impacts Found Not To Be Significant

The Initial Study identified various impact categories among a number of environmental issues that would not be significantly impacted by the proposed project and therefore, did not warrant further review in this Draft EIR. Each of these environmental issues was evaluated in the Initial Study and was determined not to represent a potentially significant impact of the project. Please refer to the Initial Study in Appendix A for more information. The impact categories found not to be significant are listed below. All other impact categories are analyzed in this Draft EIR.

| | <i>Level of Significance</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| I. AESTHETICS – Would the project: | |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | No impact |
| II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the project: | |
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping Program of the California Resources Agency, to a non-agricultural use? | No impact |
| b) Other: ¹ | No impact |
| III. AIR QUALITY – Would the project: | |
| e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people based on the information contained in the Project Description? ² | Less than Significant Impact |
| VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS – Would the project: | |
| i) Other: Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? | Less Than Significant Impact |
| XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES | |
| a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: | |
| Other governmental services? | Less Than Significant Impact |



¹ In this category the Initial Study includes a discussion of Williamson Act contracts, conflicts with existing zoning for agriculture use and conversion of Farmland.

² Although found to be a Less Than Significant Impact, this DEIR does contain a brief discussion of potential odor and regulatory requirements regarding air emissions from the proposed sewage treatment plant for the Arrowhead Springs Specific Plan development.

8. *Impacts Found Not To Be Significant*

| | <i>Level of Significance</i> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC-Would the project: | |
| g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? | Less Than Significant Impact |